

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,234	12/11/2000	Bin Zhu	51017-62768-	6208
. 7	590 04/02/2003			
Young & Thompson Second Floor 745 South 23rd Street			EXAMINER	
			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	₽
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/719,234	ZHU, BIN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carol Chaney	1745				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
-	on of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
*	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		miner				
10)[							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *					
Attachmen							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

**Art Unit: 1745** 

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trocciola et al., US Patent 4,317,865.

Trocciola et al. disclose a molten carbonate fuel cell with an electrolyte which includes a carbonate electrolyte and a ceria matrix. (Column 2, line 67-column 3, line 3.) The carbonate electrolyte is a mixture of sodium and potassium carbonate, and thus a salt; therefore, the electrolyte is a ceria salt composite. The cell is designed to operate in the temperature range of 550-750 °C. (Column 1, lines 25.) The electrolyte matrix disclosed by Trocciola et al. is designed for a conventional molten carbonate fuel cell, and also includes a fuel chamber, an anode, a cathode, an oxidant chamber, with the chambers enclosing the anode, cathode, and electrolyte. (Note column 1, lines 21-39.)

With regards to claim 2, carbonate salt electrolytes specifically conduct carbonate anions,  $(CO_3^{-2})$ .

Art Unit: 1745

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trocciola et al., US Patent 4,317,865 in view of Smith et al., US Patent 5,139,896.

As discussed above, Trocciola et al. disclose applicants' invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that Trocciola et al. are silent as to the compositions of fuel cell electrode. Smith et al. disclose unitized molten carbonate fuel cells composed of all-ceramic structures in which both electrodes and interconnects are composed of ceramic materials. The ceramic compositions include multivalent metal oxides, including alkali metal-transition metal non-stoichiometric oxides. Smith et al. teach similar material compositions may be used for the different fuel cell layers. The arrangement is taught by Smith et al. to reduce sealing and expansion problems associated with the use of different materials. (Column 3, lines 46-55.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the ceramic electrode compositions taught by Smith et al. in the fuel cells taught by Trocciola et al. in order to reduce sealing and expansion problems.

Art Unit: 1745

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to suggest a intermediate temperature (eg molten carbonate) fuel cell which includes an electrolyte matrix containing ceria and a sulfate salt.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mellors, US Patent 4,352,869; Iacovangelo et al., US Patent 4,423,122; Kucera et al., US Patent 4,564,567 all discuss molten carbonate fuel cells.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

CC

March 31, 2003